

General Manufacturing

Layered Process Audit (LPA) Deployment Template

Standard: ISO 9001:2015

45

Total Questions

3

Audit Layers

60 min

Total Duration

| Layer | Role | Questions | Time | Frequency |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|
| L1 | Production Operator | 20 Q | 25 min | Daily — each production shift |
| L2 | Supervisor / Quality Lead | 15 Q | 20 min | Weekly — all production areas |
| L3 | Plant Manager / Quality Manager | 10 Q | 15 min | Monthly — quality system review |

Complete all three layers for comprehensive process verification. Each layer builds upon the previous, providing systemic quality assurance from operator to engineering leadership.

LAYER 1: OPERATOR AUDIT

20 Questions | 25 min | Daily — each production shift

| ID | Question & Criteria | Response | | | |
|-----|---|----------|---|-----|-------|
| A1 | <p>Work Order & Traveler Match</p> <p>Work order number, part number, revision, and quantity on traveler match the shop order and physical part. Production schedule alignment verified.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A2 | <p>Raw Material Certification</p> <p>Raw material certificates of conformance (C of C) present. Heat or lot numbers recorded on traveler. Material grade matches drawing callout. No unapproved substitutions.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A3 | <p>Drawing Revision Control</p> <p>Current revision drawing at workstation. Matches revision listed on traveler. Superseded drawings removed from area. Engineering changes reflected.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A4 | <p>Part Status Identification</p> <p>In-process parts labeled with status: WIP, Hold, Scrap, or Inspection Required. Traveler signed at all completed prior operations. No unlabeled or ambiguous parts.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A5 | <p>Work Instruction Currency</p> <p>Work instructions posted at station match the current revision referenced on the traveler. Operation sequence correct. Special process notes included.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A6 | <p>Tooling & Fixture Condition</p> <p>Correct tools and fixtures per BOM/traveler. Tools inspected for damage, wear, or contamination. Tool storage proper. Inserts changed per tool life schedule.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A7 | <p>Machine Setup & Readiness</p> <p>Machine cleaned, warmed up, and verified for current job. Prior job cleared. Coolant level, air pressure, and hydraulic pressure within spec. Guards in place.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A8 | <p>First-Piece / Setup Verification</p> <p>Setup sign-off completed before production run. First piece fully inspected and approved. Results recorded. No production until setup approved.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A9 | <p>SPC Chart Active & Current</p> <p>Control chart posted at station. Last 5+ readings plotted. Process in statistical control — no Western Electric OOC signals unaddressed.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A10 | <p>Critical Dimension Check</p> <p>All critical dimensions verified per Control Plan frequency. Correct gauge used. Results within spec and recorded on inspection sheet or in system.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A11 | <p>Attribute Gauge Usage</p> <p>Go/No-Go gauges within calibration. Used correctly — not forced. Gauge surfaces clean. Stored properly to prevent damage between uses.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A12 | <p>Variable Measurement Technique</p> <p>Measuring tool calibrated and within due date. Proper technique applied. Part clean and at temperature. Measurement repeated as required. Results logged.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A13 | <p>In-Process Inspection Records</p> <p>All required in-process checks recorded: operator ID, time, quantity, measured values. No skipped entries. End-of-shift inspection summary completed.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| A14 | <p>Traceability Maintained</p> <p>Serial numbers, lot codes, or operator stamps captured at each operation. Traveler traceability maintained through to current operation without gaps.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |

A15 Surface Finish Acceptable

Surface condition meets drawing callout. No tool marks, scratches, corrosion, or contamination. Visual standard posted and referenced for subjective evaluations.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

A16 Edge Condition & Deburr

All edges deburred per drawing. Chamfers and radii within specification. No sharp edges or burrs that exceed drawing tolerance or create handling risk.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

A17 Feature Geometry Verification

Holes, threads, and key features checked: size, pitch, depth, and position within drawing tolerance. Gauge or CMM results recorded.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

A18 Part Cleanliness

Parts free of chips, coolant, oil, and debris. Clean parts stored in approved containers. No loose chips in finished goods containers.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

A19 Documentation Completeness

All traveler fields populated at completed operations: operator ID, machine ID, timestamp, quantity, inspection results. No blank required fields.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

A20 Nonconformance Handling

Nonconforming parts segregated immediately with NCR tag. Quality notified. Production stopped at that station until root cause and disposition determined.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

■ Note: This template is designed for broad applicability. Customize Section C questions to match your specific product Control Plan characteristics and industry requirements.

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| LAYER SCORE | ___ / 20 | AUDITOR | _____ | DATE | _____ |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|-------|

LAYER 2: SUPERVISOR / QUALITY AUDIT

15 Questions | 20 min | Weekly — all production areas

| ID | Question & Criteria | Response | | | |
|----|--|----------|---|-----|-------|
| F1 | <p>L1 Audit Completion Rate</p> <p>Confirm >95% of planned L1 audits completed this week across all production areas and shifts. Gaps documented and root-caused.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| F2 | <p>Audit Schedule Adherence</p> <p>Audits performed per rotation schedule. All shifts, lines, and areas included. No consecutive shifts uncovered. Weekend and off-shift coverage verified.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| F3 | <p>Finding Pareto Analysis</p> <p>Top 3 finding categories identified from this week's L1 data. Pareto chart current. Findings stratified by area, shift, and product for targeted improvement.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| F4 | <p>Repeat Finding Rate</p> <p>Same finding at same station recurring < 10% of weekly total. Repeat findings auto-trigger CAPA review. Chronic repeat findings presented at weekly quality meeting.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| F5 | <p>Critical Finding Escalation</p> <p>Safety issues, product holds, or customer-impact findings escalated to Quality Manager and Plant Manager within 4 hours. Escalation log reviewed and complete.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| G1 | <p>Root Cause Analysis Quality</p> <p>RCA documented for all repeat findings. 5-Why or Ishikawa method used. Root cause at process or system level — not 'operator error' without deeper analysis.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| G2 | <p>CAPA Assignment & Tracking</p> <p>Corrective actions in CAPA system with owner, target date, and verification plan. Past-due CAs reviewed and re-prioritized or escalated.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| G3 | <p>30-Day Effectiveness Check</p> <p>Prior CAs re-audited at 30 days. Finding recurrence rate confirms improvement. CAs re-opened if issue returns within verification window.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| G4 | <p>Lessons Learned Deployment</p> <p>Findings and fixes communicated to similar processes, machines, and shifts. Lessons entered in shared system. Horizontal improvements documented.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| H1 | <p>Control Plan vs. Actual Process</p> <p>Spot-check confirms actual production process matches approved Control Plan. Any deviations are documented engineering changes — not informal workarounds.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| H2 | <p>Gauge R&R; Study Currency</p> <p>Gauge R&R; studies for critical measurement systems within 12 months. Studies passing (< 30% for Critical). Studies available on request.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| H3 | <p>Sampling Frequency vs. Risk</p> <p>Inspection frequency matches current defect history and risk level. High-defect or new-product areas receiving increased inspection until stable.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| H4 | <p>Operator Competency Verified</p> <p>Operators on floor trained and certified for their current stations. Cross-training matrix current. New operators on supervised period.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| I1 | <p>Nonconforming Material Segregation</p> <p>All hold-tagged material in designated quarantine area. No mixing with conforming product. Hold log reconciled. Dispositions in progress or complete.</p> | Y | N | N/A | Notes |

I2

Customer Escape Status

No customer returns or field complaints this week. If any: 8D initiated, customer notified, containment active, interim action documented.

| | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------|
| Y | N | N/A | Notes |
|---|---|-----|-------|

| | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| LAYER SCORE | ___ / 15 | AUDITOR | _____ | DATE | _____ |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|-------|------|-------|

LAYER 3: ENGINEERING / MANAGEMENT AUDIT

10 Questions | 15 min | Monthly — system review

| ID | Question & Criteria | Response | | | |
|----|--|----------|---|-----|-------|
| J1 | Process Capability — Critical Characteristics All critical characteristics maintaining Cpk ≥ 1.33 . SPC dashboard reviewed with management. Characteristics below threshold have engineering improvement plans. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| J2 | Capability Trend Analysis No Cpk degradation > 20% over trailing 3 months. Tool wear and process drift monitored. Predictive alerts in place for key process parameters. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| J3 | OOB Detection & Response Control chart review confirms all out-of-control signals detected and documented reaction plans executed. No silent OOB events found in audit. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| J4 | Measurement System Health No overdue Gauge R&R, calibration, or MSA studies. Calibration system reviewed. Out-of-tolerance findings investigated for product impact. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| K1 | Control Plan Currency Control Plan updated for all process changes, corrective actions, and improvement activities this period. Revision date current. Team review completed. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| K2 | Engineering Change Implementation All released ECOs implemented on floor: drawings current, WIs revised, operators briefed, first articles run where required. Change closure log clean. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| K3 | FMEA Risk Reduction PFMEA reviewed this month. High-RPN items have active mitigation plans. RPNs trending downward. FMEA updated after every significant escape or process change. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| L1 | Continuous Improvement Activity At least one documented improvement completed this month with quantified results. Results posted on CI board and presented at management review. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| L2 | LPA Program Health LPA scores across all areas stable or improving over 90-day trend. Low-scoring areas have improvement plans with assigned owners and milestones. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |
| L3 | Supplier Performance Review Supplier incoming quality data reviewed. SCARs issued for supplier-caused escapes. Preferred supplier list updated. High-risk suppliers scheduled for audit. | Y | N | N/A | Notes |

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| LAYER SCORE | ___ / 10 | AUDITOR | _____ | DATE | _____ |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|-------|

LAYER 0: LPA MATURITY SCORING & TOTAL

Questions | min |

| Score | Performance Level | Required Action |
|--------|-------------------|---|
| 100% | World Class | Document & share best practices across all lines |
| 90–99% | Strong | Monitor for drift; schedule quarterly reviews |
| 80–89% | Capable | Focus improvement resources on identified gaps |
| 70–79% | At Risk | Management review within 5 business days |
| < 70% | Critical | Stop production; mandatory corrective action plan |

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|
| TOTAL SCORE | <u> </u> / 45 | % Score | <u> </u> % |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|

Industry-Specific Notes

General Manufacturing LPAs support ISO 9001:2015 clause 8.5 (Production & Service Provision), 9.1 (Monitoring & Measurement), and 10.2 (Nonconformity & Corrective Action). This template is designed as a universal baseline applicable across machining, fabrication, plastics, packaging, and light assembly operations. Customize Section C inspection questions to match your specific product characteristics and Control Plan requirements. The LPA program should be integrated with your ISO 9001 internal audit schedule and management review inputs. LPA findings are a key input to your organization's Corrective Action / Preventive Action (CAPA) process.

Audit Sign-Off

| Auditor Name | Signature | Date Completed |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | |
| Reviewed By | Title / Role | Next Audit Due |
| | | |